

Journal of Multidisciplinary Education and Inquiry

ISSN Pending

DAC Insight Publishers

<https://journals.dacinsightpublishers.com/JMEI>



THE FUTURE OF HIGHER EDUCATION REGIONALIZATION: A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE ON INTEGRATION TRENDS

Akinola George Dosunmu^{1*}

¹Center for Engineering Education and Gender Studies

Corresponding Author*: akinola.dosunmu@ceegs.org

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the future of higher education regionalization from a global perspective, offering a critical analysis of emerging integration trends and their implications for academic cooperation, knowledge production, and policy formulation. This underscores the dynamic relationship between higher education regionalization and key global trends: internationalization, transnational collaboration, and the harmonization of academic standards. It further examines how regionalization serves as a counterbalance and complement to globalization, enabling countries to enhance competitiveness by safeguarding their local academic and cultural contexts. Thus, it explores the structural disparities between the Global North and South, particularly the political, cultural, and infrastructural issues that impact equitable access and integration. Emphasis is placed on how regional frameworks can promote sustainability, reduce academic isolation, and foster inclusive knowledge ecosystems. The argument extends to the policy implications of these developments, drawing on regional case studies to identify effective strategies for fostering cooperation and addressing institutional imbalances. The findings revealed that developing inclusive policies supports regional harmonization through governance alignment, digital transformation, and collaborative research platforms. The article argues that higher education regionalization offers a transformative pathway for strengthening global academic networks and thus drives sustainable development, innovation, and resilience across the higher education sector worldwide.

Keywords: Higher Education, Globalization, Regionalization, Inclusive Policy, Internationalization

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher education regionalization conceptualizes the process of integrating and aligning higher education systems, policies, and institutions across countries within a geographic or strategic region (Knight, 2024). It has gained prominence in recent decades as nations across the globe recognize the benefits and importance of shared academic standards, cross-border mobility, and collective responses to educational challenges (Knight, 2017). Regional blocs such as the European Union (EU), the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), and the African Union (AU) facilitate these efforts through structured cooperation (Chou *et al.*, 2024).

The rationale for regionalizing higher education lies in fostering academic collaboration, improving quality assurance, and encouraging joint research initiatives (Abulsaidi *et al.*, 2025). Regionally integrated systems enable member states to enhance competitiveness, elevate educational outcomes, and support socio-economic development. This process includes mutual recognition of qualifications, curriculum harmonization, and academic mobility (Egron-Polak & Marinoni, 2023). However, higher education regionalization is complex and often uneven. It is shaped by political will, economic disparities, cultural diversity, and institutional capacities (Kapfudzaruwa, 2025). While some regions have achieved meaningful integration, others face persistent structural and systemic barriers. Understanding the background of regionalization thus illuminates both progress and ongoing challenges in reshaping global higher education systems (Hassan *et al.*, 2025).

Globally, higher education has become increasingly interconnected, and regionalization has become a core element of this narrative (Marginson, 2022). As globalization transforms economies and labor markets, the need for coordinated educational responses grows. The global integration discourse focuses on building knowledge societies not bounded by national borders but united by shared academic values and regional cooperation (Rasheed, 2023). Some of these references are the Bologna Process in Europe, the Tuning Project in Latin America and Africa, and the East African Higher Education Area reflect efforts to harmonize systems for collective gain. These initiatives indicate a paradigm shift in governance toward collaboration rather than national isolation (Marginson, 2025).

However, global perspectives on integration vary. Critics argue that regionalization may serve political agendas or reinforce inequalities across and within regions (Roy, 2023). Hence, integration strategies must consider differences in educational traditions, governance models, and socio-political contexts. A global lens allows for a more nuanced analysis of how regions can adopt shared frameworks while preserving institutional identity and autonomy (Brewer, 2024). Current higher education trends, such as digital transformation, massification, and employability demands, are rapidly reshaping learning environments. Institutions are embracing online and hybrid models, competency-based learning, and results-driven assessments (Mohamed Hashim *et al.*, 2022). Simultaneously, higher education is now expected to address numerous complex global challenges like climate change, migration, public health, and inequality (Stoyanova & Stoyanov, 2024). Universities and/or higher institutions are thus redefining their missions in alignment with global development and citizenship goals (Rumbley *et al.*, 2023). Regional frameworks can support such efforts by providing platforms for innovation, mutual support, and policy coordination. Understanding these trends is essential to assessing how regionalization can drive the future of higher education and knowledge economies (De Wit & Altbach, 2021).

Therefore, the purpose is to critically examine emerging trends in higher education regionalization globally. It explores how integration efforts shape educational systems and contribute to academic cooperation, knowledge production, and inclusive development. The analysis emphasizes policy frameworks, international collaboration, and strategic patterns that promote sustainable and innovative regional approaches.

2. CONTEXTUAL ISSUES IN HIGHER EDUCATION REGIONALIZATION

The process of regionalizing higher education is significantly shaped by the unequal capacities and historical development trajectories of countries across the Global North and South (Tight, 2021). Institutions in the Global North typically benefit from robust funding, advanced infrastructure, and established international partnerships, which provide a distinct advantage in regional integration processes. Conversely, many nations in the Global South face persistent struggles with limited resources, underdeveloped research systems, and governance issues that hinder their effective participation in regional frameworks (Kalbarczyk *et al.*, 2021). These gaps manifest as unequal participation in global knowledge networks, reinforcing hierarchies in academic production and marginalizing institutions in the South through linguistic barriers and unequal access to digital tools. Without targeted interventions, regionalization risks exacerbating these existing inequalities (Salmi & D'Addio, 2021).

Political and cultural challenges further complicate these integration efforts. Political instability, inconsistent policy agendas, and entrenched nationalistic tendencies often discourage governments from harmonizing educational standards or ceding control over curricula (Pitsoe & Vlăduțescu, 2024). These factors often result in fragile regional agreements that lack long-term commitment. Additionally, cultural diversity, including differing educational traditions and teaching methods, creates barriers to mutual institutional cooperation (Rubio-Alcalá & Coyle, 2021). Addressing these problems requires intentional cross-cultural dialogue and specific mechanisms for resolving governance discrepancies to ensure that regionalization is inclusive of all member cultures and institutions.

Infrastructural deficits remain a primary constraint, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where many institutions lack adequate internet connectivity and research facilities (Omodan, 2024). These deficits limit the implementation of vital regional programs such as academic mobility, joint degrees, and collaborative research. Furthermore, the global academic ecosystem continues to favor high-income countries where prestigious publishers and academic networks are concentrated, often excluding scholars from the Global South and limiting their visibility (Ndege *et al.*, 2025). To overcome these issues, regionalization must prioritize investment in physical and digital infrastructure while promoting South-South cooperation and open-access platforms to democratize knowledge production (Tehseen *et al.*, 2025).

3. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative, non-empirical research design underpinned by a critical theory perspective, which facilitates an examination of the power structures and structural inequalities

inherent in higher education regionalization. The theoretical framework is primarily guided by Neo-Institutionalism to analyze how institutional structures and formal policy agreements drive regionalization, while a critical geopolitics lens is utilized to address the disparities and power imbalances between the Global North and South (Leimgruber, 2018). The data set consists of a carefully curated documentary corpus divided into three primary categories: macro-level official regional policy documents from major blocs like the EHEA and ASEAN, critical academic literature from 2015 to 2025, and grey literature from intergovernmental bodies such as UNESCO and the World Bank to provide evidence of implementation and practical outcomes (Deredzai & Goronga, 2025).

The analysis follows a systematic process of thematic content analysis and policy comparison. It begins with deductive coding to analyze policy goals such as the harmonization of standards and governance alignment, followed by inductive coding of academic and grey literature to identify emergent themes like structural disparities, academic isolation, and sustainability. This process concludes with a cross-regional case comparison of established frameworks, such as the EHEA and East Africa's EAC, to identify effective strategies and recurring institutional imbalances. By synthesizing evidence of successful governance with critical analyses of structural disparities, this methodology builds a robust argument for regionalization as a transformative pathway for global academic networks (Ul Hassan *et al.*, 2025).

3.1 Higher Education and the future trends of internationalization

Internationalization in higher education has become a defining feature of global academic systems, characterized by increasing student mobility, cross-border partnerships, and the global branding of universities. A notable trend is the rise of transnational education (TNE), which includes branch campuses, online degrees, and joint programs. These models expand access and enable institutions to reach global learners without physical relocation. In parallel, there is an increasing emphasis on the internationalization of the curriculum, which incorporates global perspectives into course content to prepare students for interconnected careers and societies (Clarke & Kirby, 2022).

Another emerging trend is the strategic internationalization of research. Universities are forming international research consortia, co-authoring publications across continents, and competing for global funding opportunities. These collaborations aim to address grand challenges such as climate change, pandemics, and digital transformation that transcend national boundaries. Internationalization is thus becoming both a tool for institutional competitiveness and a vehicle for global problem-solving (Buck, 2023).

However, the nature of internationalization is shifting from elite-driven mobility to more inclusive and sustainable models. Post-pandemic realities and growing concerns over equity have led many institutions to reconsider their international engagement strategies (Teichler, 2017). Virtual exchange programs, intercultural learning, and regional collaborations are being prioritized to create more equitable and diversified international experiences for a broader range of students and institutions (Kitamura & Ashida, 2023).

Diversity, whether in language, pedagogy, governance, or institutional identity, is an inherent characteristic of global higher education systems. Regionalization provides a framework through which this diversity can be structured and managed without forcing homogenization. Instead of aiming for uniformity, regional integration efforts often embrace pluralism by harmonizing

essential elements, such as credit systems or quality standards. While preserving cultural and academic uniqueness (Erdocia, 2022).

The interplay between diversity and regionalization is especially evident in multilingual regions such as Europe, West Africa, and Southeast Asia (Hosani, 2022). In these contexts, policies that support bilingual or multilingual instruction, mutual degree recognition, and intercultural exchange are argued to be essential for effective regionalization. When managed well, diversity can be a source of strength, enabling cross-pollination of ideas and fostering a more inclusive academic culture (Avilés Irahola *et al.*, 2022).

Regionalization efforts that fail to accommodate diversity, however, are considered risky, creating tension or exclusion. For example, language dominance by a few countries or institutions may marginalize others. Therefore, regionalization policies are argued to reflect and promote equitable inclusion of all member institutions and cultures. Diversity, when integrated purposefully, enhances the legitimacy and sustainability of regional higher education frameworks (Abo-Khalil, 2024; Zalli, 2024). Regional integration plays a vital role in reshaping how knowledge is produced and shared. By linking institutions through consortia, shared research agendas, and open-access repositories, regionalization creates ecosystems that enable collaborative scholarship. These frameworks foster the development of regionally relevant knowledge while still connecting scholars to global academic networks.

In regions with under-resourced research institutions, integration can level the playing field by pooling expertise and infrastructure. Initiatives such as regional centers of excellence and inter-university networks support collective research output, capacity-building, and innovation. This collaborative environment encourages the production of knowledge that addresses shared issues, be they environmental, health-related, or socio-political (Dosso *et al.*, 2023).

Moreover, it is argued that regional integration supports epistemic diversity by amplifying voices from traditionally underrepresented regions. By empowering local scholars and institutions, regionalization helps democratize knowledge production and reduces reliance on external academic centers (Hammond, 2019). However, the future of internationalization, therefore, is increasingly regional in nature, anchored in localized contexts but globally connected through shared standards, mutual goals, and cooperative scholarship (Benneworth & Fitjar, 2019).

3.2 The Global Landscape: Globalization, Internationalization, and the Regional Response

Globalization has fundamentally expanded the reach of higher education by establishing interconnected networks of knowledge, innovation, and cross-border mobility (Sarpong & Adelekan, 2024). These global linkages enhance academic quality by exposing students to diverse perspectives and fostering intercultural competence (Millalén, 2024). Historically, this process has been driven by Internationalization, characterized by elite-driven student mobility, the global branding of universities, and the rise of Transnational Education (TNE) models, such as branch campuses and joint programs (Chankseliani *et al.*, 2021). While these advancements have broken down geographic barriers through digital tools and Massive Open Online Courses, they have also introduced significant inequalities (Comel *et al.*, 2023).

A critical concern remains the dominance of Western educational models from the Global North, which often marginalize indigenous knowledge and local traditions (Lazarus *et al.*, 2025). This

competitive landscape favors institutions with robust funding, enabling them to dominate high-impact publishing and global rankings, while under-resourced institutions, particularly those in the Global South, remain marginalized. Furthermore, the commodification of education, where profitability is prioritized over academic integrity and social responsibility, has raised ethical concerns regarding equity and access.

In response to these challenges, the nature of internationalization is shifting toward more inclusive, sustainable, and regionally anchored models (Karim *et al.*, 2024). Strategic regionalization serves as a vital counterbalance to globalization by fostering collective strength among neighboring countries. Rather than forcing homogenization, regional frameworks embrace pluralism by harmonizing essential standards such as credit systems and quality assurance while preserving cultural and academic uniqueness. However, linking institutions through regional consortia and shared research agendas, nations can negotiate more effectively in global markets and ensure that knowledge production remains socially relevant and locally grounded (Abulsaidi *et al.*, 2025).

3.3 Comparative Regional Models: Strategies for Integration

The European Higher Education Area (EHEA), established through the Bologna Process, represents the most mature model of structured regional cooperation (Janssens *et al.*, 2022). By utilizing tools such as the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) and joint quality assurance bodies, the EHEA has created a unified academic space that facilitates mobility while respecting national diversity. This model demonstrates that effective policy can transform fragmented systems into a dynamic, collaborative space through sustained investment and political will (Alexander, 2020).

In contrast, the African Union's Continental Education Strategy for Africa (CESA) and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) University Network (AUN) reflect regional efforts tailored to different socio-political contexts. The African model focuses on harmonizing curricula and promoting mobility as a solution to critical challenges like brain drain (Woldegiorgis, 2023). Similarly, the ASEAN framework utilizes the ASEAN Qualifications Reference Framework (AQRF) to facilitate credit transfers and joint research. While these regions continue to develop under varying constraints, they share a common objective: leveraging regional alliances to remain globally competitive while ensuring local ownership of educational development.

These regional efforts underscore that successful integration is not a one-size-fits-all process. While the Global North benefits from robust infrastructure and funding, the Global South is increasingly turning toward South-South cooperation and regional centers of excellence to pool expertise and level the playing field (Eta & Mngo, 2021). Across all models, the common denominators for success include institutional autonomy, governance alignment, and the digital transformation of learning environments to bridge physical divides (Masatoshi, 2023).

4. CONCLUSION

The global higher education landscape is undergoing a fundamental transformation driven by mobility, digitalization, and the rise of knowledge-driven economies. This study has demonstrated that regionalization serves as an adaptive strategy to provide stability and coherence amid global

uncertainty. By moving beyond the traditional model of higher education as a strictly national enterprise, institutions are increasingly participating in larger academic ecosystems that transcend borders to align curricula and co-produce knowledge. This shift enhances the collective capacity of nations to address complex global issues, such as climate change and digital equity, which require collaborative rather than isolated responses.

Successfully integrating regionalization into global governance requires a new form of diplomacy and multilateral engagement among institutions, governments, and international bodies. A primary contribution of regionalization is its ability to counterbalance the asymmetries of globalization by empowering institutions in the Global South to assert intellectual agency. When structured strategically, regional frameworks allow historically marginalized voices to participate more fully in the global knowledge economy, thereby enhancing both epistemic diversity and innovation. Ultimately, regionalization supports a more equitable academic environment where knowledge-sharing is treated not as a commodity but as a vital tool for collective progress.

Future research should prioritize comparative studies of different regional models to identify best practices that can be adapted to diverse institutional capacities. As digital platforms continue to redefine teaching and research, understanding the intersection of digital transformation and regional collaboration will be essential for long-term sustainability. Furthermore, a deeper investigation into the ethical dimensions of integration, including language inclusion, academic freedom, and the preservation of indigenous knowledge, is warranted. Ensuring a just and dynamic future for higher education depends on a continued commitment to policies that are globally informed but regionally anchored.

REFERENCES

1. Abo-Khalil, A. G. (2024). Integrating sustainability into higher education: Challenges and opportunities for universities worldwide. *Heliyon*, *10*(9).
2. Abulsaidi, S., Alabri, K., Chinnathambi, K., & Al Masruri, S. (2025). How are the policy initiatives being enacted to strengthen regional higher education in the GCC received and implemented? *Educational Process: International Journal*, *17*, e2025339.
3. Alexander, B. (2020). *Academia next: The futures of higher education*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
4. Avilés Irahola, D., Mora-Motta, A., Barbosa Pereira, A., Bharati, L., Biber-Freudenberger, L., Petersheim, C., Quispe-Zuniga, M. R., Schmitt, C. B., & Youkhana, E. (2022). Integrating scientific and local knowledge to address environmental conflicts: The role of academia. *Human Ecology*, *50*(5), 911–923.
5. Benneworth, P., & Fitjar, R. D. (2019). Contextualizing the role of universities to regional development: Introduction to the special issue. *Regional Studies*, *6*, 331–338.
6. Brewer, J. R. (2024). *Institutional logics and North/South partnerships in higher education: A new analytical framework for practice*. Michigan State University.
7. Buck, G. A. (2023). Internationalization and global: Internationalizing rural science teacher preparation: Action research for global competency (Vol. 58, p. 13).
8. Chankseliani, M., Qoraboyev, I., & Gimranova, D. (2021). Higher education contributing to local, national, and global development: New empirical and conceptual insights. *Higher Education*, *81*(1), 109–127.
9. Chou, M. H., Huisman, J., & Lorenzo, M. P. (2024). Regional cooperation in higher education. In *Handbook of regional cooperation and integration* (pp. 266–288). Edward Elgar Publishing.
10. Clarke, L., & Kirby, D. (2022). Internationalizing higher education curricula: Strategies and approaches. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, *10*(6), 408–417.

11. Comel, N., Marques, F. P. J., Prendin Costa, L. O., Kohls, C., & Orso, M. (2023). Who navigates the “elite” of communication journals? The participation of BRICS universities in top-ranked publications. *Online Media and Global Communication*, 2(4), 497–543.
12. De Wit, H., & Altbach, P. G. (2021). Internationalization in higher education: Global trends and recommendations for its future. *Policy Reviews in Higher Education*, 5(1), 28–46.
13. Deredzai, M., & Goronga, P. (2025). Contemporary approaches to internationalization in higher education: Global alliances for academic excellence—Strategies for cultivating enduring international partners. In *Contemporary approaches to internationalization in higher education* (pp. 95–124). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
14. Dosso, M., Cassi, L., & Mescheba, W. (2023). Towards regional scientific integration in Africa? Evidence from co-publications. *Research Policy*, 52(1), 104630.
15. Egron-Polak, E., & Marinoni, G. (2023). Internationalization of higher education: Regional approaches. In *The handbook of international higher education* (pp. 75–94). Routledge.
16. Erdocia, I. (2022). Participation and deliberation in language policy: The case of gender-neutral language. *Current Issues in Language Planning*, 23(4), 435–455.
17. Eta, E. A., & Mngo, Z. Y. (2021). Policy diffusion and transfer of the Bologna Process in Africa’s national, sub-regional and regional contexts. *European Educational Research Journal*, 20(1), 59–82.
18. Hammond, C. D. (2019). Dynamics of higher education research collaboration and regional integration in Northeast Asia: A study of the A3 Foresight Program. *Higher Education*, 78(4), 653–668.
19. Janssens, L., Kuppens, T., Mulà, I., Staniskiene, E., & Zimmermann, A. B. (2022). Do European quality assurance frameworks support integration of transformative learning for sustainable development in higher education? *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 23(8), 148–173.
20. Kalbarczyk, A., Rodriguez, D. C., Mahendradhata, Y., Sarker, M., Seme, A., Majumdar, P., Akinyemi, O. O., Kayembe, P., & Alonge, O. O. (2021). Barriers and facilitators to knowledge translation activities within academic institutions in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy and Planning*, 36(5), 728–739.
21. Kapfudzaruwa, F. (2025). Internationalization of higher education and emerging national rationales: Comparative analysis of the Global North and South. *Higher Education Policy*, 38(3), 493–522.
22. Karim, A. M., Chowdhury, T. I., Karim, A. M., & Ahmed, A. R. (2024). The impact of educational management on higher education: International perspective.
23. Kitamura, Y., & Ashida, A. (2023). International cooperation in education through multifaceted partnerships. In *Handbook of Education Policy* (pp. 229–242).
24. Knight, J. (2017). The concept and process of higher education regionalization. In *Regionalization of African higher education: Progress and prospects* (pp. 11–28). SensePublishers Rotterdam.
25. Knight, J. (2024). Higher education cooperation at the regional level. *Journal of International Cooperation in Education*, 26(1), 101–115.
26. Lazarus, A. T., Zhang, L., Shitu, A. F., Wang, J., & Issifu, J. B. (2025). Decolonizing global education: A sustainable paradigm for inclusive knowledge systems and equitable development in the 21st century.
27. Leimgruber, W. (2018). *Between global and local: Marginality and marginal regions in the context of globalization and deregulation*. Routledge.
28. Marginson, S. (2022). What is global higher education? *Oxford Review of Education*, 48(4), 492–517.
29. Marginson, S. (2025). *Globalisation and the geopolitics of higher education*.
30. Masatoshi, H. (2023). Educational reform for middle-income trap under digitalization: Culprits, challenges, and strategies in the Philippines. *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 7(3), 200–218.
31. Millalén, F. V. (2024). Reimagining higher education: The necessity for transformational change. *Transformar*, 5(3), 23–35.
32. Mohamed Hashim, M. A., Tlemsani, I., & Matthews, R. (2022). Higher education strategy in digital transformation. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3), 3171–3195.
33. Ndege, N., Irfanullah, H. M., Harle, J., & Drake, T. (2025). Africa’s research publishing landscape: Examining journals, publishers, and the infrastructure behind them. *Open Research Africa*, 8(20), 20.
34. Omodan, B. I. (2024). Redefining university infrastructure for the 21st century: An interplay between physical assets and digital evolution. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, 8(4), 3468.
35. Pitsoe, V. J., & Vlăduțescu, Ș. (2024). A critical analysis of Foucault's power and knowledge in higher education research. *Social Sciences and Education Research Review*, 11(1), 41–51.
36. Raagmaa, G., & Keerbergh, A. (2017). Regional higher education institutions in regional leadership and development. *Regional Studies*, 51(2), 260–272.

37. Roy, M. (2023). *Charting the evolution of integrated education policy in the Republic of North Macedonia: Integration, segregation, and conflict*. University of Sussex.
38. Rubio-Alcalá, F. D., & Coyle, D. (Eds.). (2021). *Developing and evaluating quality bilingual practices in higher education* (Vol. 128). Multilingual Matters.
39. Rumbley, L. E., Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Leask, B. (2023). Trends in global higher education and the future of internationalization: Beyond 2020. In *The handbook of international higher education* (pp. 3–22). Routledge.
40. Salmi, J., & D’Addio, A. (2021). Policies for achieving inclusion in higher education. *Policy Reviews in Higher Education*, 5(1), 47–72.
41. Sarpong, J., & Adelekan, T. (2024). Globalisation and education equity: The impact of neoliberalism on universities’ mission. *Policy Futures in Education*, 22(6), 1114–1129.
42. Shabani, J., Okebukola, P., & Oyewole, O. (2017). Regionalization of quality assurance in Africa. In *Regionalization of African higher education: Progress and prospects* (pp. 93–112). SensePublishers Rotterdam.
43. Stoyanova, T., & Stoyanov, P. (2024). The digitization of higher education institutions: A factor for their competitiveness in the market of educational services. In *Conference Proceedings: The Future of Education 2024*.
44. Tehseen, S., Xuan, S. L. M., & Islam, A. (2025). Promoting Sustainable Development Goals: Strategies for Local and Global Engagement. In *Advancing the SDGs in Developing and Emerging Economies: Volume I* (pp. 61-74). Springer Nature Switzerland.
45. Teichler, U. (2017). Internationalisation trends in higher education and the changing role of international student mobility. *Journal of International Mobility*, 5(1), 177–216.
46. Tight, M. (2021). Globalization and internationalization as frameworks for higher education research. *Research Papers in Education*, 36(1), 52–74.
47. Ul Hassan, M., Murtaza, A., & Rashid, K. (2025). Redefining higher education institutions (HEIs) in the era of globalisation and global crises: A proposal for future sustainability. *European Journal of Education*, 60(1), e12822.
48. Woldegiorgis, E. T. (2023). The dynamics of globalization and internationalization processes shaping the policies for African higher education. In *Higher education in Sub-Saharan Africa in the 21st century: Pedagogy, research and community engagement* (pp. 87–105). Springer Nature Singapore.
49. Zalli, E. (2024). Globalization and education: Exploring the exchange of ideas, values, and traditions in promoting cultural understanding and global citizenship. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research and Development*, 11(1 S1), 55–55